

1 BARRY J. PORTMAN
Federal Public Defender
2 JOYCE LEAVITT
Assistant Federal Public Defender
3 555 12th Street, Suite 650
Oakland, CA 94607-3627
4 (510) 637-3500

5 Counsel for Defendant CORRAL

6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13
14 LAURO CORRAL,

15 Defendant.
16

)
) No. CR 07-00726 CW

)
) STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
) ORDER CONTINUING STATUS
) DATE AND EXCLUSION OF TIME
)

17 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties to this action, that the status date in
18 this case, currently scheduled for Wednesday, January 16, 2008, before Honorable Judge Claudia
19 Wilken, may be continued two weeks to Wednesday, January 30, 2008, at 2:00 p.m. for status or
20 change of plea. The reason for the continuance is that defense counsel is still obtaining documents
21 and conducting investigation which is relevant to the disposition of the case. The parties therefore
22 stipulate that the time from January 16, 2008, to January 30, 2008, should be excluded in accordance
23 with the provisions of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv) for adequate
24 preparation of counsel.
25
26

1 /S/
2 DATED: 1/08/08
3 _____
4 JOYCE LEAVITT
5 Assistant Federal Public Defender

6 /S/
7 DATED: 1/08/08
8 _____
9 GARTH HIRE
10 Assistant United States Attorney

11 SIGNATURE ATTESTATION

12 I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by
13 a "conformed" signature (/S/) within this e-filed document.

14 **ORDER**

15 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the status date in this case,
16 currently scheduled for Wednesday, January 16, 2008, at 2:00 p.m. shall be continued to
17 Wednesday, January 30, 2008 at 2:00 p.m.

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time from January 16, 2008, to January 30, 2008, should
19 be excluded in accordance with the provisions of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A)
20 and (B)(iv) for adequate preparation of counsel. The Court finds that the ends of justice served by
21 the granting of the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a
22 speedy and public trial and the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny
23 the defendant's counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account
24 due diligence.

25 SO ORDERED.

26 DATED: 1/16/08

HONORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge